**Instructions:** Read the primary article assignments in depth and review additional resources to supplement your understanding of your assigned strategy. Prepare and offer a presentation with visual aids (e.g. PowerPoint, handouts, video) that addresses the following:

1. Background - summarize the following:
   1. Target population(s);
   2. Services, activities and/or resources offered;
   3. Intended outcomes (e.g., increased liquid financial assets, greater financial literacy);
   4. Theory of change: identify and describe implicit or explicit assumptions of the strategy concerning how the strategy aims to help improve financial well-being for people;
2. Critique - answer the following:
   1. How well does the strategy seem to fit the needs and circumstances of the target population(s)?
   2. How clearly articulated are the strategy’s theory of change assumptions? Do you agree with the reasoning? Why or why not?
   3. What are the practical strengths or weaknesses of the strategy? (e.g., feasibility of implementation, required resources to implement, etc.)
   4. To what degree is this intervention model supported with evidence concerning its effectiveness in achieving meaningful outcomes for the target population?
3. Recommendations: What are 2-3 things you would do to meaningfully and feasibly improve this intervention model? Why? (e.g., different target population, intervention methods, etc.)

**Guidelines & Tips:**

* Equal effort among team members should be readily apparent;
* Each team will have 45 minutes;
* A discussion led by the instructor will follow each presentation, though teams are free to structure their time as they wish, which may include audience interaction;

**Grading Criteria**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Points** | **Criterion** |
| 6 | **Background/Strategy understanding.** Salient features of the strategy are accurately understood and explained. |
| 13 | **Critique.** Strengths and weaknesses of the strategy’s targeting, theory of change, evidence, and practicality are well described and justified. |
| 6 | **Recommendations.** The recommended improvements are both substantive and feasible. It could reasonably be expected to be implemented in practice and make achieving intended outcomes more likely to occur. |