Research for Evidence Based Social Work Practice
SWK 4510H

Overview
Evidence Based Social Work Practice (EBSWP) is a systematic approach to making decisions that emphasizes (1) formulating answerable questions, (2) locating, critically appraising and interpreting the relevant research evidence, (3) applying best available evidence in consultation with clients, and (4) evaluating the intervention. Using a problem-based learning model, students evaluate and interpret the best available evidence relating to a number of social work policy and practice questions. Supported by a series of research methods tutorials, students develop an understanding of some of basic quantitative and qualitative research designs and methods appropriate for answering policy and practice questions. In appraising the evidence and crafting solutions to questions, students will examine the data from a scientific viewpoint and will also consider the extent to which the research findings can be applied to diverse populations.

Rationale and Significance
Evidence based practice has been defined as:

“The conscientious, explicit and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions regarding the welfare of those in need of social services.” (Sackett et al., 1996, p. 71)

Evidence based practice has become prominent in the field of social work with the rapid expansion of available research knowledge relevant to social service interventions and ways to access this knowledge. The international movement towards evidence based social work follows a similar movement in medicine which began in the 1970s when clinical medicine became concerned that much of its practice was conducted without knowledge of the latest and best research evidence. It is important to note, what is new about EBSWP is not the idea of evaluating practice, but the systematic way in which evidence is gathered, the seriousness with
which research quality is now being pursued, and the way in which research evidence is translated into service delivery.

The fundamental purpose of this course is to involve students in evidence based practice rather than merely to teach them about it. Classes will focus on the process of posing questions and then identifying, critically appraising, interpreting and applying evidence in order to help guide social work policy and practice. Using this model, students will evaluate and interpret the best evidence available for answering practice and policy questions. This model supports the FSWs mission to emphasize the integration of research and practice and aims to facilitate the transition from student to practitioner/policy-maker by engaging students in the day-to-day challenges of decision-making and responding to problems.

Educational Philosophy
This course adopts a problem-based learning approach. Problem-based learning centers on the discussion and learning that emanates from a practical problem. It encourages independent learning and provides practice in tackling puzzling situations. It is a way of learning that encourages a deeper understanding of the material than is possible through rote learning. The small-group setting used in problem-based learning also encourages an inquisitive and detailed look at all of the issues, concepts and principles contained within a problem. The time spent outside the group setting facilitates the development of skills such as literature retrieval, critical appraisal of available information and input from peers and specialists. Importantly, problem-based learning encourages students to become more involved in, and responsible for, their own learning.

Goals
The goals for students in this course are to:
• Learn about evidence-based practice and develop skills to generate informed strategies for dealing with social work practice and policy questions,
• Develop teamwork skills in the resolution of these questions,
• Promote an interest in and respect for research evidence and to provide an alternative to ‘authority based’ practice, and
• Develop understanding of the appropriate research methods required for evaluating and interpreting the available evidence.

Student Learning Outcomes
Upon completion of this course, students will be better equipped to:
• Apply research evidence to social work practice and policy questions,
• Formulate clearly stated, answerable questions,
• Locate research evidence to answer these questions by searching the literature through appropriate databases,
• Critically appraise quantitative research designs and methods for answering different types of practice and policy questions,
• Integrate findings from systematic reviews and meta analyses,
• Interpret the evidence, including its clinical and policy significance and generate evidence based solutions to social work problems,
• Consider how to apply such evidence
• Consider how to evaluate the outcomes of whatever action is taken.

Learning Environment
We have a responsibility, as professional social workers, to treat one another with respect, even if we do not agree with another’s viewpoint. But respect does not mean agreement. It must be recognized that having core values challenged, even respectfully, may be an unsettling experience, but it is an integral part of learning. Therefore, we must challenge the ideas people present, rather than attack the person who presents the ideas. In all discussions, mutual respect and consideration for each other and our right to express ideas are paramount and are essential for fostering a safe and stimulating classroom environment. However, we must ensure, not assume, that respect for one another exists within the Faculty of Social Work. Furthermore, it is important for all of us to safely incorporate diversity, in its many aspects, within the course work and class discussions. If students feel unsafe or disrespected, please make it known to the instructor so that a healthy, vibrant learning environment can be maintained.

Expectations of Students
Students are expected to be familiar with all University and Faculty of Social Work policies concerning academic performance (e.g., academic misconduct, late submission of assignments, etc.) and academic integrity. Please refer to the MSW Student Handbook http://www.socialwork.utoronto.ca/mswprogram/handbook/index.html or the University’s Code on Behaviour and Academic Matters http://www.utoronto.ca/govcncl/pap/policies/behaveac.pdf for detailed information regarding academic policies and integrity.

Students in graduate studies are expected to commit to the highest standards of integrity, and to understand the importance of protecting and acknowledging intellectual property. It is assumed that they bring to their graduate studies a clear understanding of how to cite references appropriately, thereby avoiding plagiarism. The student’s thinking must be understood as distinct from the sources upon which the student is referring. Two excellent documents entitled How Not to Plagiarize http://www.utoronto.ca/writing/plagsep.html and the Code on Behaviour and Academic Matters are available for you to review on the FSW website or at http://www.sgs.utoronto.ca.

Organization of Course
Classes will be three hours long with a 10-minute break. Class will provide a brief overview of quantitative and qualitative research methods but the main focus will be on the application of these methods in the context of evidence-based social work practice. The small group setting will include a combination of group exercises as well as consultation for students’ practicum-based projects. Group exercises will follow structured questions focusing on evaluating and interpreting evidence from the assigned readings, incorporating evidence into decision-making and linking to implications for policy and practice.

In order to successfully complete this course, all students are expected to have a foundational understanding of social science research methods. The weekly lectures are designed to review methods relevant to the research being evaluated in the small group setting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Topic and Activities</th>
<th>Readings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 1</strong>&lt;br&gt;Tues. Jan. 8</td>
<td><strong>LECTURE:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Introduction to evidence based practice&lt;br&gt;<strong>LEARNING ACTIVITIES:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Class introductions, overview and course organization</td>
<td>◦ Rubin &amp; Babbie, Chapter 1 (5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed), Chapter 1 &amp; 2 (6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed.)&lt;br&gt;◦ Gambrill, Evidence based practice&lt;br&gt;◦ McNeill, Evidence-based practice in an age of relativism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 2</strong>&lt;br&gt;Tues. Jan. 15</td>
<td><strong>LECTURE:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Asking answerable questions&lt;br&gt;<strong>LEARNING ACTIVITIES:</strong>&lt;br&gt;EBSWP example</td>
<td>◦ Gibbs, Evidence-based practice for the helping professions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 3</strong>&lt;br&gt;Tues. Jan. 22</td>
<td><strong>LECTURE:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Locating research literature&lt;br&gt;<strong>LEARNING ACTIVITIES:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Locating research literature demonstration&lt;br&gt;\textit{This class will be held in a OISE computer lab (3&lt;sup&gt;RD&lt;/sup&gt; floor OISE, Room 320, labs 4 and 5)}</td>
<td>◦ An introduction to searching electronic databases (U Waterloo)&lt;br&gt;◦ Introduction to database search skills (U Glasgow)&lt;br&gt;◦ The Cochrane Collaboration (homepage &amp; manual)&lt;br&gt;◦ The Campbell Collaboration (homepage &amp; guidelines)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 4</strong>&lt;br&gt;Tues. Jan. 29</td>
<td><strong>LECTURE:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Measurement&lt;br&gt;<strong>LEARNING ACTIVITIES:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Application &amp; critique</td>
<td>◦ Rubin &amp; Babbie, Chapter 6 &amp; 7 (5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed.), Chapter 8 (6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 5</strong>&lt;br&gt;Tues. Feb. 5</td>
<td><strong>LECTURE:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Experimental design&lt;br&gt;<strong>LEARNING ACTIVITIES:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Application &amp; critique</td>
<td>◦ Rubin &amp; Babbie, Chapter 10 (5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed.), Chapter 10 (6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 6</strong>&lt;br&gt;Tues. Feb 12</td>
<td><strong>LECTURE:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Quasi-experimental design&lt;br&gt;<strong>LEARNING ACTIVITIES:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Application &amp; critique&lt;br&gt;&lt;strong&gt;Draft EBSWP Project Paper Due&lt;/strong&gt;</td>
<td>◦ Rubin &amp; Babbie, Chapter 11 (5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed), Chapter 11 (6&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; ed.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>READING WEEK&lt;br&gt;Tues. Feb 19</td>
<td>No class</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| WEEK 7 | Tues. Feb 26 | LECTURE: Sampling for quantitative and qualitative studies  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: Application & critique | Rubin & Babbie, Chapter 8 (5th ed.), Chapter 5 (6th ed.) |
| WEEK 8 | Tues. Mar. 4 | LECTURE: Qualitative data collection and data analysis  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: TBA  
Exercise # 1 - Experimental Design Due | Critical Review Form – Qualitative Studies  
Guidelines for Critical Review Form – Qualitative Studies  
Please have read Ted McNeill’s Fathers’ Experience of Parenting a Child With Juvenile Rheumatoid Arthritis |
| WEEK 9 | Tues. Mar. 11 | LECTURE: Survey design  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: Application & critique | Rubin & Babbie, Chapter 9 (5th ed.), Chapter 15 (6th ed.) |
| WEEK 10 | Tues. Mar. 18 | LECTURE: Descriptive statistics  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: TBA  
Exercise #2– Qualitative Research Critical Review Due | Rubin & Babbie, Chapter 18 & 19 (5th ed.), Chapter 20 (6th ed.) |
| WEEK 11 | Tues. Mar. 25 | LECTURE: Inferential statistics - effect sizes, number needed to treat, power and meta-analyses  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: TBA | Rubin & Babbie, Chapter 20 & 21 (5th ed.), Chapter 21 & 22 (6th ed.)  
Supplemental hand-outs on statistical power and effect size |
| WEEK 12 | Tues. April 1 | LECTURE: Applying evidence and evaluating effectiveness  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: Working through an EBSWP example | Rubin & Babbie, Chapter 12 (5th & 6th ed.) |
| WEEK 13 | April 8 | NO LECTURE  
LEARNING ACTIVITIES: One-on-one consultation on students’ projects  
EBSWP Project Paper Due by Sp.m. April 15, 2008 |
Assignments

Assignment Overview

1. Exercises (30%)
Two exercises, worth 15% each
Exercise #1 – Experimental Design
Due at the beginning of your class on March 4, 2008
Exercise #2 – Qualitative Research Critical Review
Due at the beginning of your class on March 18, 2007

2. Draft EBSWP Project Paper - Practice Question, Search Strategy and Article Selection (15%)
The purpose of submitting a draft of the first sections of the research summary is to provide students with preliminary feedback on their EBSWP assignment. Grading will be based on the following requirements: formulation of practice question, description of the search strategy, and selection of the four 'best' articles that will be critically appraised in the EBSWP assignment. Please also include working bibliography, printed search history from the databases and selected abstracts of rejected articles with your rationale for inclusion and exclusion of articles.
Due at the beginning of your class on February 12, 2008

3. EBSWP Project Paper (55%)
Using a practice or policy question relevant to their practicum, each student will complete an EBSWP review that includes the following: providing a detailed case study, providing a concise, but solid, literature review of the area, formulating the question, systematically searching published research relevant to the practice question, evaluating relevant studies, interpreting evidence, applying the evidence to the question, and developing an implementation and evaluation plan for a particular client or community. As well, the paper should be written using APA format, with an APA bibliography for all references including the selected studies.
Due before 5 pm on April 15, 2008

All assignments handed in after the due date will be penalized by 4% per day. Any request for an extension must be made in writing before the assignment’s due date and must be accompanied by the appropriate documentation.

Please see appended ‘Detailed Description of Assignments’.

Required Readings

Course Text (you can select either edition):


Articles (restricted links are posted on the course website)


Websites (links are also posted on course website)

Introduction to searching electronic data bases
*http://library.uwaterloo.ca/~dhmorton/ht16/introsrch_all.html*

Introduction to database search skills
*http://www.lib.gla.ac.uk/Docs/Guides/searching.html*

The Cochrane Collaboration for evidence based medicine
Homepage *http://www.cochrane.org/index0.htm*
Manual *http://www.cochrane.org/admin/manual.htm*

The Campbell Collaboration for evidence based community services
Homepage *http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/*
Guidelines *http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/Fraguidelines.html*

Critical Review Form – Qualitative Studies
Form *http://www-fhs.mcmaster.ca/rehab/ebp/pdf/qualreview.pdf*
Guidelines *http://www-fhs.mcmaster.ca/rehab/ebp/pdf/qualguidelines.pdf*

And any additional readings as assigned by the instructor

Supplementary Readings
The following supplementary readings are suggested.


Appendix: Detailed Description of Assignments

Exercise #1 - Experimental Design

Develop your own viable experimental or quasi-experimental study to evaluate the effectiveness of an intervention. Your study design should include a PICO question that is related to the PICO question you choose for your final assignment.

Prepare a three-page answer to the following questions:

1. What is the PICO effectiveness question that you will address in your study?

2. Which experimental or quasi-experimental research design is best suited to answer your question? Specify the particular experimental or quasi-experimental design that you would use and include a diagram (see Rubin & Babbie) to illustrate this design.

3. Who will your research participants be? How will you recruit them? From where? Identify criteria for inclusion and exclusion from your study. What population can your study findings be generalized to?

4. Describe two threats to internal validity in your study and explain how your design controls for them. Describe two threats to internal validity that your design does not control for and explain how you would minimize their potential impact on your study.

Note: Your answer should be typed, double-spaced, in a 12 point Times New Roman font, with 1 inch margins.

If you have questions about this exercise, please contact your instructor.

Due at the beginning of your class on March 4, 2008 (Exercise worth 15%)

All exercises handed in after the due date will be penalized by 4% per day. Any request for an extension must be made in writing before the exercise’s due date and must be accompanied by the appropriate documentation.
Exercise #2– Qualitative Research Critical Review

Complete the following:

1. Apply the Saini (2006) Qualitative Rigor Criteria Short Form to the following qualitative study:


2. Be sure to *comment* on at least three ways you could make this study more culturally appropriate if the parents were immigrants from mainland China (See Rubin & Babbie, Chapter 16). Maximum length: 1 page.

**Note:** Indicate the related article page number for each identified answer, as well identify on the article itself, where you found the answer to each question. Please fill out the form legibly and in sentence format. If you decide to type your answers, please keep responses within 3 pages, double-spaced, in a 12 point Times New Roman font, with 1 inch margins.

If you have questions about this exercise, please contact your instructor.

**Due at the beginning of your class on March 18, 2007**

*(Exercise worth 15%)*

*All exercises handed in after the due date will be penalized by 4% per day. Any request for an extension must be made in writing before the exercise’s due date and must be accompanied by the appropriate documentation.*
Draft of Final Project:  
EBSWP Project Paper

The purpose of submitting a draft of the first sections of the research summary is to provide students with preliminary feedback on their EBSWP assignment.

Prepare a four-page answer to the following questions:

- Present a detailed case study (1 to 1.5 pages)
- Identify and describe how you formulated your practice question (PICO question).
- Describe your search strategy (i.e. inclusion/exclusion criteria, databases searched, key words used, and number of citations found).
- Describe how you selected your four 'best' articles that will be critically appraised in the EBSWP assignment. What is your rationale for your selection? Try to select 4 experimental or quasi-experimental designs (versus meta-analyses, qualitative or survey designs). Provide a summary of the number of articles rejected and reasons for rejection.

Please also include the following components:

- Working bibliography
- Printed search history from your databases
- Selected abstracts of rejected articles

Note: Answers must be presented in sentence format. Your answer should be typed, double-spaced, in a 12 point Times New Roman font, with 1 inch margins. Please append your four articles to your exercise. Your articles will be returned to you with the marked draft assignment.

If you have questions about this assignment, please contact your instructor.

Due at the beginning of your class on February 12, 2008  
(Assignment worth 15%)

All assignments handed in after the due date will be penalized by 4% per day. Any request for an extension must be made in writing before the assignment’s due date and must be accompanied by the appropriate documentation.
Final Assignment:
EBSWP Project Paper

Part A: Problem Formulation (400-500 words, 10%)

Introduce the Practice Problem

- Provide a description of a practice or policy problem you confronted in your practicum. Describe your client/client group. Describe your client’s problem. Describe your role in intervening in this client’s problem (i.e. within context of practice).
- Provide relevant background for your client/client group (e.g. age, gender, cultural and socio-economic background, etc.).
- Provide relevant background for your client/client group’s problem (e.g. a brief introduction to ADD with references).

Identify your ‘PICO Question’

- Choose one PICO question. Ensure it is an ‘effectiveness question’.
- Identify the four key elements of your question according to ‘Gibbs’ Schema’. Use a ‘Question Classification Table’. Ensure that you make the link between your question and your case objective.
- Briefly describe the most appropriate research design to answer your question.

Part B: Search Strategy (250 – 500 words, 10%)

Describe your Search Strategy

- Identify the inclusion and exclusion criteria
- How did you identify your literature? Which databases did you select? Why? How many citations did you retrieve? Justify your search strategy (e.g. Why did you use the MOLE ‘random*’?).
- Include as an appendix a table showing your precise search strategy including the order of the ‘MOLES’ search terms.
- Append your 4 articles.

Part C: Review Tables (1 page per study, 30%)

The Review Table

- Choose the best four articles you found and complete data extraction of relevant information of the four best articles in a table format (please see sample table below)
- This section should be logically organized, appropriate length, and concise. In addition, terms/short-forms should be understandable.
- Each table should include the following information:
  - ID, Purpose/research question/intervention, Method, Sample/sampling frame, Measures, Results, Effect sizes, Methodological strengths and weaknesses, QSRF scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Citation &amp; Research Question</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Research Design &amp; Sample</th>
<th>Measure(s)</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Strengths &amp; Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study 1 ... Study 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-include ES1/NNT</td>
<td>-include QSRF score</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part D: Critical Appraisal (800-1000 words, 30%)

Critical Appraisal

- Complete the QSRF for each of the four best articles to appraise the evidence.
- Compare and contrast the most important methodological strengths of 4 studies and how these impact your confidence in the conclusions of the different studies.
- Compare and contrast the most important methodological weaknesses of the 4 studies.
- Judgment re: potential for bias & direction of impact.
- Be sure to comment on these strengths and weakness in the context of relative effect sizes and/or NNT.
- Relate appraisal to the research question & implications for practice.
- Taking account of your effect sizes and methodological limitations, tell your reader what you can confidently conclude on the basis of this evidence.

Part E: Implementation & Evaluation Plan (500 – 750 words, 15%)

- What will you do with this information? What is your clinical judgment? Why? How will you involve your client in your action plan?
- Please note: This is the point where the issue of client diversity becomes crucial. Bear in mind that your client is almost certain to be different from the individuals in the studies you reviewed. How is your client different? What is the possible relevance of this difference in your case?
- Even if you decide the intervention in your PICO is not effective, please tell us how you would evaluate any intervention with your client.
- How will you know if you have succeeded (i.e., what is your outcome measure?) Which single system design will you use? How will you put this in place?
- Provide a one-paragraph summary of your case and recommendation(s) for intervention.

References (5%)

- Provide an APA formatted reference list for all references and selected articles.

Note: Answers must be presented in sentence format. Your answer should be typed, double-spaced, in a 12 point Times New Roman font, with 1 inch margins.

If you have questions about this assignment, please contact your instructor.

Due before 5 pm on April 15, 2008

(Assignment worth 55%)

All assignments handed in after the due date will be penalized by 4% per day. Any request for an extension must be made in writing before the assignment’s due date and must be accompanied by the appropriate documentation.