COMPETENCY RATING SCALE FOR FOUNDATION MSW FIELD EDUCATION

1. Engagement with Individual, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Establishes contact, builds rapport, forms working relationships, and invites a diverse array of clients, stakeholders, and/or community partners to participate in clinical services and/or administrative projects.

a.	0	Avoids contact or establishes contact in an uninviting manner	0	Initiates contact with others in a reasonable and inviting manner	0	Initiates contact with others in a nuanced, creative manner	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Unable to introduce self, role and goals clearly	0	Introduces self, role and goals	0	Clearly and concisely introduces self, role and goals	0	Unable to assess
c.	0	Unable to alter approach for different individuals and/or contexts; does not demonstrate cultural humility	0	Recognizes the importance of difference and can often adjust approach for different individuals and/or contexts	0	Quickly adjusts approach to fit a wide range of diverse people and contexts, balancing humility and confidence	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Unsuccessful at forming effective working relationships on many occasions	0	Usually forms an effective working relationship	0	Almost always forms highly effective working relationships	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *engagement*?

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

2. Consultation, Coordination, and Collaboration: Obtains information from a variety of collaterals, stakeholders, and/or other environmental sources, both formal and informal, and integrates into a coordinated service and/or project plan.

a.	0	Fails to obtain information provided by a variety of collaterals, stakeholders, and/or sources	0	Obtains general information from most collaterals, stakeholders, and sources	0	Obtains the most relevant information from all key collaterals and/or sources	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Does not integrate information	0	Integrates most of the information received	0	Integrates the information in a complete, nuanced way	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Does not provide effective input into service or project plan	0	Occasionally provides some helpful information to collaborators, coordinates, and/or advocates	0	Provides instrumental information to other collaborators in a persuasive way	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Develops hostile or antagonistic relationships with some collaborators	0	Develops relationships with collaborators from different disciplines, communities, and perspectives	0	Exhibits ability to lead partnerships with a wide range of collaborators from different disciplines, communities, and perspectives	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *consultation*, *coordination*, *and collaboration*?

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

3. Assessment of Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Collects, analyzes, and applies relevant information to promote the effective delivery of clinical services and/or the effective execution of administrative and planning projects.

	_	Unable to collect essential		Collects most of the relevant	_	Consistantly collects the most	_	Unable to
a.	0		0		0	Consistently collects the most	0	Ollable to
		information		information		relevant information		assess
b.	0	Overlooks biological,	0	Considers factors on multiple	0	Selects the most critical factors	0	Unable to
		developmental, psychological,		levels including biological,		on biological, developmental,		assess
		social, cultural, community,		developmental, psychological,		psychological, social, cultural,		
		and/or spiritual factors		social, cultural, community,		community, and spiritual levels		
		and, or opinicad, raccord		and spiritual factors				
c.	0	Ignores or undervalues the	0	Considers some diversity	0	Thoughtfully considers the	0	Unable to
		client system's experience of		factors including poverty,		most relevant diversity factors		assess
		diversity including poverty,		oppression, and discrimination		including subtle factors like		
		oppression, and discrimination		opp. coston, and alcommutation		micro-aggression		
d.	0	Unable to balance strengths	0	Balances appraisal of strengths	0	Consistently balances strengths	0	Unable to
		and needs		and needs in most instances		and needs		assess
e.	0	Fails to organize and analyze	0	Organizes and analyzes	0	Efficiently organizes, analyzes,	0	Unable to
L.		information		information sufficiently		and condenses information		
_				•				assess
f.	0	Draws spurious or inaccurate	0	Draws conclusions that are	0	Draws accurate conclusions	0	Unable to
		conclusions		mostly well-founded and well-		and applies understanding in a		assess
				supported		creative manner		

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *assessment*?

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

4. Intervention Planning: Develops clinical and/or administrative project goals that include input from clients, multiple stakeholders, and/or information sources. Identifies specific, measurable, and achievable goals and integrates the best available evidence and/or knowledge.

a.	0	Fails to establish goals that are relevant to the client system or systems; may impose personal or majority values	0	Identifies goals that match the values, interests, and needs of clients, stakeholders, and/or other sources	0	Consistently establishes goals that are highly relevant to clients, stakeholders, and/or other sources	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Identifies goals that are vague, difficult to measure, and/or unattainable; fails to identify goals	0	Identifies goals that are mostly specific, measurable, and /or achievable	0	Consistently selects goals that are specific, measurable, and achievable	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Does not understand and/or is uninterested in identifying intervention approaches supported by available evidence	0	Considers some available evidence to guide approach including practice wisdom and research	0	Critically appraises and applies the best available knowledge from research and/or practice wisdom to guide the intervention plan	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *intervention planning*?

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

5. Intervention Implementation and Evaluation: Executes activities and interventions consistent with the intervention plan in a thoughtful, sequenced, and responsive manner. Modifies activities, pacing, methods, and/or goals so as to increase the likelihood of reaching the intended outcome(s).

a.	0	Unable or unwilling to execute	0	Selects activities, techniques,	0	Selects the best available and	0	Unable to
		activities that support goal		and/or intervention methods		most relevant activities,		assess
		acquisition and fit the context		that generally support goal		techniques, and methods		
				acquisition and fit the context				
b.	0	Over-relies on resource-related	0	Generally able to provide	0	Demonstrates superior ability	0	Unable to
		strategies or psychologically-		resource and psychological		to blend resource and		assess
		oriented strategies; unable to		supports to meet client system		psychological approaches to		
		balance approaches		needs		meet client system needs		
c.	0	Intervention approach is not	0	Mostly balances structure and	0	Sequences and guides the	0	Unable to
		prioritized or sequenced well;		flexibility when implementing;		intervention process in a		assess
		may be excessively rigid or		prioritizes, sequences, and		flexible, structured, expert		
		lacking structure		paces intervention		manner		
d.	0	Does not gather feedback or	0	Periodically gathers feedback	0	Consistently elicits feedback	0	Unable to
		establish or review indicators;		from key sources, reviews basic		from key sources, reviews key		assess
		does not modify approach		indicators, modifies, and		indicators, synthesizes,		
				discusses		modifies, and communicates		
e.	0	Demonstrates impatience	0	Usually demonstrates patience	0	Consistently demonstrates high	0	Unable to
		and/or lack of persistence		and persistence with the		levels of patience and		assess
				intervention process		persistence		

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *implementation and evaluation*?

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	1

6. Systemic Intervention: Demonstrates interest, understanding, and/or effective participation in the organizational, institutional, policy, and/or community contexts that shape the delivery of social work services to vulnerable populations.

a.	0	Lacks interest in how systems work and sees role in individual terms only	0	Appears aware of and interested in how systems work	0	Demonstrates a high degree of knowledge about and passion for how systems work	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Does not recognize social trends or technological advances	0	Recognizes emerging social trends and technological advances	0	Forecasts future social trends and technological advances	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Does not assess assets and barriers related to systems change	0	Utilizes available assets and supports and identifies barriers to system change	0	Creatively capitalizes on system assets and overcomes obstacles to change	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Does not engage with others about policy and systems change	0	Participates in discussions about how systems or policies could change	0	Makes significant contributions to changing systems and policies through collaboration with others	0	Unable to assess
e.	0	Does not participate in advocacy efforts to promote social and economic justice	0	Participates in advocacy efforts to promote social and economic justice	0	Initiates or leads efforts to promote social and economic justice	0	

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *systemic intervention*?

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

7. Evaluation of Systemic Efforts and/or Interventions: Collects, analyzes, and applies data on the effectiveness of program, system, and/or community-wide efforts so as to shape the overall delivery of social work services.

a.	0	Lacks interest in collecting or analyzing data or information related to program or community-level effectiveness	0	Is aware of and/or interested in collecting or analyzing data or information related to program or community-level effectiveness	0	Demonstrates a high degree of passion for collecting and analyzing data or information related to program or community-level outcomes	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Evaluates work only in individual terms, often using weak or unreliable sources	0	Accurately and critically appraises data from a few key sources	0	Seeks, appraises, and applies knowledge and practice wisdom from a wide variety of sources	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Cannot identify theoretical or conceptual framework(s)	0	Identifies and uses a few relevant theoretical or conceptual frameworks	0	Actively seeks out and utilizes the best available knowledge form multiple disciplines	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Unable to identify or discuss how agency could improve or modify approach	0	Considers and recommends how agency could improve or modify approach	0	Works with a variety of partners and stakeholders to actively modify agency's approach and improve outcome attainment	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *evaluation of systemic efforts?*

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess			
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	Exceptional Mastery				

8. Planning for Completion or Transition: Facilitates endings and transitions to clinical and/or administrative projects in a timely, smooth, and thoughtful manner so as to promote continuity of care and/or project success.

a.	0	Lacks sufficient capacity to plan, prioritize, organize, manage time, and/or meet deadlines	0	Generally plans, prioritizes, manages time, organizes self; meets most deadlines	0	Demonstrates exceptional planning, prioritization, time management, and organization skills; always completes work in advance or on time	0	
b.	0	Avoids thinking about or planning for transitions	0	Thinks about and plans for endings in advance, anticipates most needs	0	Thoroughly plans for endings and transitions from the beginning, anticipating and meeting all needs	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Fosters dependency when unnecessary or unhelpful	0	Promotes appropriate level of independence and/or sustainability	0	Actively fosters independence and/or sustainability	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Client or administrative project needs go unmet because of failure to communicate	0	Communicates sufficiently to most affected parties during transitions	0	Makes certain client or administrative project needs are well-communicated	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *planning for completion or transition?*

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess			
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	Exceptional Mastery				

9. Written and Verbal Communication Skills: Communicates orally and in writing in an organized, complete, and timely manner. Delivers communication in an effective manner given its purpose and context.

a.	0	Verbal communication is late, absent, disorganized, incomplete, aggressive, or delivered ineffectively	0	Verbal communication is mostly timely, organized, complete, assertive, and effective	0	Verbal communication skillfully presents information in a complete, organized, concise, and persuasive manner	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Written work is not completed to standard and requires excessive amounts of time and multiple revisions	0	Completes written work in a reasonably efficient and complete manner	0	Written work is exceptional in its quality, clarity, comprehensiveness, brevity, and timeliness	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Fails to respond to email or phone calls	0	Responds to communication from others in a timely manner	0	Consistently responds to communication from others in a timely and complete manner	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Electronic communication lacks judgment or respect for client system privacy	0	Utilizes electronic sources of communication appropriately with respect to client system and agency context	0	Utilizes electronic sources of communication in an efficient, thoughtful, and ethical way to promote coordinated work	0	Unable to assess
e.	0	Unable to adapt communication style according to purpose, situation, or context; may lack diplomacy and/or cultural proficiency	0	Often adapts style and delivery of communication to fit a diverse array of persons, situations, and contexts	0	Consistently demonstrates superior diplomacy, cross-cultural, and/or cross-situational effectiveness	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *communication?*

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess			
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	Exceptional Mastery				

10. Professional Ethics: Considers and applies the professional code of ethics for social workers so as to recognize ethical conflicts and arrive at principled decisions.

a.	0	Fails to understand, adopt, and/or abide by key ethical values and principles	0	Understands, adopts, and/or abides by key ethical values and principles	0	Seamlessly integrates ethical values and principles into daily practice	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Fails to recognize or manage personal values or biases	0	Able to recognize most ethical dilemmas, review standards, and initiate consultation	0	Provides others with consultation and training on key ethical values and principles	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Unable to tolerate ambiguity and/or to engage in appropriate resolution strategies	0	Able to tolerate ambiguity and discomfort so as to explore sensitive topics during instructional and consultation processes	0	Accepts ambiguity and fully engages in consultative conversations about highly sensitive matters	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Unable to identify or discuss diversity and social justice factors including oppression, privilege, power, and discrimination	0	Identifies and discusses relevant diversity and social justice factors including oppression, privilege, power, and discrimination	0	Highly aware of and initiates conversations about relevant diversity and social justice factors in a sophisticated manner	0	Unable to assess
e.	0	Makes decisions that are reflexive, secretive, and/or unethical	0	Able to openly weigh, consider, and balance competing needs and arrive at a principled decision	0	Consistently resolves complex dilemmas through a reasoned, principled, and interactive process	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *ethics?*

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

11. Professional Conduct: Demonstrates professional behavior, appearance, and communication in accordance with standards identified by the School of Social Welfare, field agency, and professional codes.

a.	0	Poor attendance, punctuality, and preparation for tasks	0	Meets standards of attendance, punctuality, and preparation for tasks for agency context	0	Seen by others as a role model for attendance, punctuality, and task preparation	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Demonstrates poor self- management skills and judgment related to communication, interpersonal behavior, or boundaries	0	Manages emotions, communication, behavior, and boundaries well in most situations	0	Displays consistently excellent judgment and manages communication, emotions, behavior, and boundaries well even in difficult situations	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Unable to maintain constructive collegial relations	0	Able to maintain constructive interpersonal relations in most instances	0	Handles conflicts in a skillful, diplomatic, professional manner	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Demonstrates professional behavior, appearance, or communication that is inappropriate to context	0	Meets standards of professional behavior, appearance, and communication for agency context	0	Seen by others as a role model of professional behavior, appearance, and communication	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *professional conduct?*

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	

12. Professional Growth and Development: Takes responsibility for learning and demonstrates initiative. Receives, considers, and integrates feedback from instructors. Demonstrates commitment to continual professional development and life-long learning.

a.	0	Approaches learning in an overly anxious or overly confident manner	0	Demonstrates an appropriate level of initiative and independence	0	Challenges self with diverse assignments that are within reach	0	Unable to assess
b.	0	Unprepared for the instructional hour; participates passively	0	Prepares for the instructional hour most of the time; actively participates	0	Prepares thoughtful questions for the instruction; links conceptual and practical aspects of social work	0	Unable to assess
C.	0	Fails to sufficiently review own work; lacks insight	0	Able to reflect on thoughts, feelings, values, strengths, and challenges related to performance	0	Consistently demonstrates rigorous self-reflection and high levels of accurate insight	0	Unable to assess
d.	0	Appears personally wounded by feedback and responds defensively or with helplessness	0	Receptive to suggestions and accepts constructive feedback when offered	0	Consistently invites feedback from others and demonstrates high levels of emotional maturity and responsiveness	0	Unable to assess
e.	0	Unwilling or unable to correct performance at expected rate	0	Demonstrates observable improvements at expected rate	0	Consistently integrates feedback and improves performance at a faster than expected rate	0	Unable to assess
f.	0	Strives only to meet the minimum standards	0	As mastery advances, seeks out additional learning activities	0	Often inspires or facilitates learning in others	0	Unable to assess

Considering the above items and other relevant factors, how would you rate the student's overall level of competence related to *professional development?*

1	2	3	4	5	Unable to Assess
Not Proficient		Competent	Exc	ceptional Mastery	,

Berkeley Social Welfare http://socialwelfare.berkeley.edu/

Please do not use, reproduce or adapt without permission.

Contact: gregmerrill@berkeley.edu